THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.151 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that an identical question was considered by this Court in W.P.No.13372 of 2006, and the said writ petition was dismissed, following the Judgment of a Division Bench in **M/s MRKR-MBGEC v. Chief Administrative Officer, Construction, South Central Railway**[1].

Since the issue raised in this writ petition is squarely covered by the ratio laid down by the Division Bench, the prayer in this writ petition cannot be granted.

Accordingly, granting leave and liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy available under law for redressal of his grievance, if any, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(G. ROHINI, J.)

4th September, 2006.
Kgr

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.151 OF 2006

4th September, 2006.

Between:
B. Yadagiri. .. Petitioner.

And

The General Manager (Works),
South Central Railway, Secunderabad and others. ..
Respondents.

---

[1] 2006(3) ALT 274 (DB).